```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
     SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
 4
                                       )
     COMPANY, INC.,
                                       )
 5
                                       )
              Plaintiff,
 6
                                       ) Case No.
              vs.
 7
                                       ) 3:21-CV-03496-VC
     INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
 8
              Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
            VIRTUAL VIDEOCONFERENCE VIDEO-RECORDED
13
                   DEPOSITION OF GREG POSDAL
14
         30(B)(6), SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY
15
16
                    Tuesday, November 1, 2022
17
           Remotely Testifying from Phoenix, Arizona
18
19
20
21
22
     Stenographically Reported By:
23
     Hanna Kim, CLR, CSR No. 13083
24
25
     Job No. 5541334-A
                                                  Page 1
```

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
     SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
 4
                                       )
     COMPANY, INC.,
                                       )
 5
                                       )
              Plaintiff,
6
                                       ) Case No.
              vs.
 7
                                       ) 3:21-CV-03496-VC
     INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
8
              Defendant.
9
10
11
12
               Virtual videoconference video-recorded
     deposition of GREG POSDAL, in the capacity of a
13
     30(B)(6) witness of Surgical Instrument Service
14
15
     Company, Remotely Testifying from Phoenix, Arizona,
     on Tuesday, November 1, 2022, beginning at
16
17
     9:01 a.m., PDT, and concluding at 10:52 a.m.,
     pursuant to the stipulations of counsel thereof,
18
19
     before Hanna Kim, CLR, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
20
     No. 13083.
21
22
23
2.4
25
                                                    Page 2
```

1	DEMORE MIDEOCONEEDENCE ADDEADANCES OF COUNCEL!
1 2	REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
3	For Plaintiff and the Witness:
4	HALEY GUILIANO
5	BY: RICHARD T. McCAULLEY, ESQ.
6	111 North Market Street, Suite 900
7	San Jose, California 95113
8	669.213.1071
9	richard.mccaulley@hglaw.com
10	
11	For Hospital Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class:
12	BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC
13	BY: JOSHUA D. SNYDER, ESQ.
14	15 St. Asaphs Road
15	Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004
16	610.822.0203
17	jsnyder@bonizack.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	know.	
2	Q. This you're welcome to look at this	
3	reliability/performance test summary section. I	
4	haven't found somewhere it says how many instruments	
5	were tested.	09:33:31
6	A. Okay.	
7	Q. Did you ever ask Rebotix how many	
8	instruments were tested?	
9	A. I I don't recall.	
10	Q. Would you have asked Rebotix how many	09:33:38
11	instruments were tested?	
12	A. I could have. I do not remember. I don't	
13	know.	
14	Q. Do you think that the number of	
15	instruments that are tested is relevant to	09:34:00
16	determining whether the tests were sufficiently	
17	robust to confirm that the devices were safe to use?	
18	A. I think they could be. I will say that	
19	outside of the chip counter, these instruments are	
20	substantially equivalent to items that we've been	09:34:22
21	repairing for up to 50 years. Same basic materials,	
22	same basic premises.	
23	We were comfortable with the fact that the	
24	testing they did and our observations of these	
25	pieces of equipment for probably a number of years	09:34:40
		Page 33

1	before this process started would lead us to that	
2	same conclusion. So whether I asked or not, I I	
3	can't be certain.	
4	Q. Was any portion of Rebotix' testing	
5	protocol specific to the cables in the EndoWrist	09:34:59
6	instruments?	
7	A. As I recall insofar as there was a visual	
8	inspection to make sure that they were still intact	
9	and there was an orientation test to make sure that	
10	all were properly aligned, I think that was it.	09:35:21
11	Properly aligned and secured, as I recall.	
12	Q. Was any portion of the testing to confirm	
13	that the tension of the cables was correct?	
14	A. I can't be certain of that. I I don't	
15	know for certain.	09:35:44
16	Q. You agree that the cables in EndoWrist	
17	instruments are not equivalent to any component that	
18	appears in traditional laparoscopic instruments;	
19	correct?	
20	A. In traditional laparoscopic instruments,	09:35:59
21	that is correct. In terms of our exposure to them,	
22	we see them every single day in flexible endoscope	
23	repairs, not not dissimilar at all to those.	
24	Q. Describe for me how flexible endoscope	
25	cables are similar to the cables that appear in	09:36:22
		Page 34

1	EndoWrist instruments.	
2	A. They perform a similar function.	
3	They're they're connected up at the proximal end	
4	so that they can be activated. And they allow for	
5	steering or angulation or manipulation of the distal	09:36:38
6	tip, much like the EndoWrist devices.	
7	Q. What tests does SIS perform on a flexible	
8	endoscope to confirm that the cables are in working	
9	order?	
10	A. I I'm speaking as a a CEO right now.	09:37:00
11	I don't work on these every day. To the best of my	
12	knowledge, we do a visual inspection to make sure	
13	that they're safe and intact. We make sure that	
14	they're secured at both ends. And we make sure that	
15	they're oriented properly to re to achieve the	09:37:20
16	manufacturer's expectations. That is in terms of	
17	angulation of deflection, et cetera.	
18	Q. Looking back at page '137, the the last	
19	couple lines of the paragraph we were just looking	
20	at refers to the technical file being "independently	09:37:57
21	reviewed by DQS."	
22	Do you see that sentence?	
23	A. Yes, I do see that.	
24	Q. What is DQS?	
25	A. I don't know.	09:38:11
		Page 35

1	Q.	Do you know anything about	
2	Α.	It sounds like a quality system, but	
3	Q.	You're you're assuming that based on	
4	the "QS"	in the acronym	
5	Α.	Yes.	09:38:25
6	Q.	Is that right?	
7		All right. We can move on to Topic Number	
8	3, Mr. Pos	sdal.	
9		This is the number of surgical uses and	
10	steriliza	tion cycles for which it is safe for	09:38:54
11	EndoWrist	instruments to be used both before and	
12	after the	servicing that SIS markets or performs on	
13	or in con	nection with EndoWrist instruments.	
14	A.	Okay.	
15	Q.	Are you prepared to testify regarding that	09:39:09
16	topic?		
17	A.	Yes, I am.	
18	Q.	So how many surgical uses is it safe to	
19	use an End	doWrist for before it goes through the	
20	EndoWrist	reset process that SIS has marketed?	09:39:20
21	<b>A</b> .	Can you repeat that question.	
22	Q.	Sure.	
23		How many surgical uses is it safe to use	
24	an Endowr	ist for before the EndoWrist goes through	
25	the reset	process that SIS has marketed?	09:39:35
			Page 36

1	MR. McCAULLEY: Objection to form.	
2	THE WITNESS: I can only say that it	
3	depends. Much like the instruments that we repair	
4	every day, it depends on how that instrument was	
5	handled or treated. The limitation on EndoWrist	09:39:51
6	specifically render that point moot after ten uses.	
7	So if you're asking me how long and how many times	
8	it can be reprocessed, that would depend, but it	
9	would be significantly more than ten.	
10	BY MR. CHAPUT:	09:40:14
11	Q. How do you know it would be significantly	
12	more than ten?	
13	A. Based on our years of repairing, near I	
14	can't say nearly similar items of made of	
15	similar materials for similar uses.	09:40:27
16	Q. What similar items are you referring to?	
17	A. I'm referring to standard laparoscopic	
18	instrument, non-robotic laparoscopic instrument	
19	that that are largely made of the same materials	
20	and styles as the EndoWrists.	09:40:45
21	Q. How many sterilization cycles is it safe	
22	to use an EndoWrist for before that EndoWrist goes	
23	through the resetting process that SIS has marketed?	
24	MR. SNYDER: Objection to form.	
25	MR. McCAULLEY: Objection to form. Sorry.	09:41:11
		Page 37